

1 Introduction

[8] exhibits the equiconsistency of a measurable cardinal and a maximal θ -independent family. For infinite θ and infinite X , $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathscr{P}(X)$ is θ -*independent* if $|\mathcal{A}| \geq \theta$ and for all partial $p: A \rightarrow 2$ with $|p| < \theta$,

$$\mathcal{A}^p := \bigcap \{A \mid p(A) = 1\} \cap \bigcap \{X \setminus A \mid p(A) = 0\} \neq \emptyset$$

σ -*independent* means \aleph_1 -independent. A maximal θ -independent family ($M\theta IF$) is a θ -independent family maximal among θ -independent families by inclusion. By Zorn's Lemma, ZFC proves the existence of M θ IFs, but M θ IFs entail an inner model with a measurable cardinal, a fascinating increase in consistency strength.

In [8] Kunen comments that a single strongly compact cardinal κ would beget, in a forcing extension, M θ IFs $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathscr{P}(X)$ for all λ , s.t. $d(\lambda) \geq \kappa$. We shall prove this and reduce the requirement to κ strongly compact, generalising to θ -independence. We also extend the technique to a proper class of θ -measurables, iterating the process. In this model, the Mitchell rank of cardinals is very nearly preserved.

Preliminaries

Given a filter \mathcal{F} on X , $\mathcal{F}^* := \{X \setminus A \mid A \in \mathcal{F}\}$. For V a θ -complete ultrafilter on X , $\mathcal{F}_V^* := \{A \in \mathcal{F} \mid (\exists Y \in \mathcal{I}) A \subseteq Y\}$. An inner model M is λ -*closed* if $M \triangleleft \lambda$ or λ -closed $\cap V \subseteq M$.

Our convention for the Mitchell order ([9]) is that $o(\kappa) > 0$ if and only if κ is measurable. Following [1], for $\theta \geq \kappa$, κ is θ -*strongly compact* if every κ -complete filter on any X can be extended to a θ -complete ultrafilter on X .

Theorem 1.1 ([1]). *TFHE:* (i) κ is θ -strongly compact.

(ii) $(\forall \theta \geq \kappa) \exists \theta(\exists \mathbb{P}: V \rightarrow M)$ s.t. $\text{crit}(\mathbb{P}) \geq \theta$ and $(\exists D \in M) f^\alpha \subseteq D \wedge M\models |D| < j(\kappa)$.

(iii) $(\forall \theta \geq \kappa) \exists \theta$ -complete u.f. on $\mathscr{P}(\alpha)$.

See [6] for information on forcing. The following is a combination and weakening of Lemma 13 and Theorem 10 in [5].

Proper classes of maximal θ -independent families

Callipe Ryan-Smith

21st August 2024

Abstract

While maximal independent families can be constructed in ZFC via Zorn's lemma, the presence of a maximal θ -independent family also gives an inner model with a measurable cardinal, and Kunen has shown that from a measurable cardinal one can construct a forcing extension in which there is a maximal θ -independent family. We extend this technique to construct proper classes of maximal θ -independent families for various uncountable θ . In the first instance, a single θ^+ -strongly compact cardinal has a set-generic extension with a proper class of maximal θ -independent families. In the second, we take a generic extension of a model with a proper class of measurable cardinals to obtain a proper class of θ for which there is a maximal θ -independent family.

This note is a heavily abridged version of [10]. In particular, the online version contains more preliminaries, exposition, exploration of the literature, details, depth, and improved typesetting. Many shorthands have been taken that are not usual mathematical practice in order to save space.

Proposition 1.2 (Kunen). *If $\text{Add}(\omega, 1)$ forces that $\dot{\Phi}$ is σ -closed then for all V -generic $G \subseteq \text{Add}(\omega, 1) * \mathbb{Q}$ and normal measures $\mathcal{U} \in V[G]$ on κ , $\mathcal{U} \cap V$ is a normal measure on κ .*

2 Hammers

We have two tools for constructing M θ IFs. The first is almost [8], Lemma 2.1], but we have softened the requirements.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\theta > \omega$ be regular, $|X| \geq \theta$, and \mathcal{I} a θ -complete ideal over X s.t. $A \subseteq Y$. Then there is an M θ IF $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathscr{P}(X)$.*

Sketch proof. Let $J = j_{\mathcal{I}}: V \rightarrow M$, and $H \subseteq \text{Add}(\kappa, \kappa^+)$ be $V[G]^*$ -generic. Both M and $M(G * H)$ are κ^+ -closed. Let $\mathbb{R} = J^*(\mathbb{P}_* \text{Add}(\kappa, \kappa^+))$. Then $j(\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{P}_* \text{Add}(\kappa, \kappa^+) * \mathbb{R}$ as required in Theorem 2.2. Let

$$\mathbb{R} = \mathbb{R}^{G \times H} = \ast_{\alpha \in \text{Add}(\kappa, \kappa^+)} \text{Add}(\alpha, (\alpha^+)^M).$$

Given a filter \mathcal{F} on X , $\mathcal{F}^* := \{X \setminus A \mid A \in \mathcal{F}\}$. For V a θ -complete ultrafilter on X , $\mathcal{F}_V^* := \{A \in \mathcal{F} \mid (\exists Y \in \mathcal{I}) A \subseteq Y\}$. An inner model M is λ -*closed* if $M \triangleleft \lambda$ or λ -closed $\cap V \subseteq M$.

The following is an extension of [8], Theorem 2], but the technique is essentially the same.

Theorem 3.1 ([8, Theorem 1]). *Let κ be a measurable cardinal, $\theta \in (\omega, \kappa)$ be regular, and G be V -generic for $\text{Add}(\theta, \kappa)$. Then there is an M θ IF $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathscr{P}(X)$ in $V[G]$.*

Kunen also recovers a measurable cardinal from an M θ IF.

Theorem 3.2 ([8, Theorem 1]). *Let $\theta > \omega$ regular, and $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathscr{P}(\lambda)$ an M θ IF. Then $2^{>\theta} \leq \theta$ and, for some κ , $\sup(\{2^{>\theta}, \kappa\}) \leq \kappa \leq \min(\lambda, 2^\kappa)$, there is a non-trivial θ^+ -saturated κ -complete ideal over κ .*

3 Nails

The following is an extension of [8], Theorem 2], but the technique is essentially the same.

Theorem 3.1 ([8, Theorem 2]). *Let κ be a measurable cardinal, $\theta \in (\omega, \kappa)$ be regular, and G be V -generic for $\text{Add}(\theta, \kappa)$. Then there is an M θ IF $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathscr{P}(X)$ in $V[G]$.*

Given a filter \mathcal{F} on X , $\mathcal{F}^* := \{X \setminus A \mid A \in \mathcal{F}\}$. By standard forcing techniques, $\mathbb{P} = \ast_{\alpha \in \text{Add}(\theta, \kappa^+)} \text{Add}(\alpha, \alpha^+)$ in the extension where $\mathcal{A}' = \{a \mid \kappa > a > \lambda\}$ is tame and thus \mathbb{P} is a M θ IF with $\mathcal{A}' \subseteq \mathscr{P}(X)$.

Kunen sketches how to obtain an M θ IF on inaccessible κ , [8, Lemma 2.2].

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\theta > \omega$ be regular, $|X| \geq \theta$, and \mathcal{I} a θ -complete ideal over X s.t. $A \subseteq Y$. Then there is a λ -strongly compact cardinal $\lambda \in V$ such that $\lambda \triangleleft \theta$ and λ -closed $\cap V \subseteq \mathcal{I}$.*

Sketch proof. Let $J = j_{\mathcal{I}}: V \rightarrow M$, and $H \subseteq \text{Add}(\kappa, \kappa^+)$ be $V[G]^*$ -generic. Both M and $M(G * H)$ are κ^+ -closed. Let $\mathbb{R} = J^*(\mathbb{P}_* \text{Add}(\kappa, \kappa^+))$. Then $j(\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{P}_* \text{Add}(\kappa, \kappa^+) * \mathbb{R}$ as required in Theorem 2.2. Let

Bibliography

- [1] Juan Bagaria and Miquel Molinar, *Group mafidors, Group mafidols, and strongly connected cardinals*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **366** (2014), no. 4, 1857–1877.
- [2] Matthew Foreman, *Calculating quotient algebras of generic embeddings*, Israel J. Math. **193** (2013), no. 309–341.
- [3] Sy D. Friedman, *Fine structure and class forcing*, De Gruyter Series in Logic and its Applications, vol. 3, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 2000.
- [4] Gabe Goldberg, *How can we control the cardinality of $j(\kappa)$ for an \aleph_1 -strongly compact cardinal?* MathOverflow, <https://mathoverflow.net/q/465743>, 2023.
- [5] Joel David Hamkins, *Extensions with the approximation and cover properties have no new large cardinals*, Fund. Math. **190** (2003), no. 3, 257–277.
- [6] Thomas Jech, *Set Theory*, millennium ed., Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003.
- [7] Thomas Jech and Karel Prikry, *Ideals over uncountable sets: application of almost disjoint functions and generic ultraproducts*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **81** (1979), no. 214, vi+76 pp.
- [8] Kenneth Kunen, *Maximal θ -independent families*, Fund. Math. **117** (1983), no. 1, 75–80.
- [9] William J. Mitchell, *Sets constructible from sequences of ultrafilters*, J. Symbolic Logic **39** (1974), 57–66.
- [10] Callipe Ryan-Smith, *Proper classes of maximal θ -independent families from large cardinals*, arXiv [2408.10137](https://arxiv.org/abs/2408.10137) (2024).

Appendix [3] A summary of preservation of ZFC with class forcing.

Appendix [4] An overview of preservation of ZFC with class forcing.

Appendix [5] A detailed overview of preservation of ZFC with class forcing.

Appendix [6] The code is intended to apply to a closure of length θ iterations.

The author would like to thank G. Goldberg for his help with proving $2^\kappa \leq |\mathscr{P}(D)|^{\theta^+}$ in Theorem A. Brooke-Taylor and Andrzej Kania for their contributions on early versions of this paper and Polychrome.org for the LaTeX template that was used to create this work. The author's work was financially supported by EPSRC via grant EP/W523860/1. For the purpose of open access, the author has applied a Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence to this document. No data are associated with this submission. No data are available.

Fact 3.1. *If $\mathcal{U} \in V[G]$ is a normal measure on κ , then $C_\kappa := \{\lambda < \kappa \mid \lambda \in V[G]\}$ is a normal measure on κ .*

We have two tools for constructing M θ IFs. The first is almost [8], Lemma 2.1], but we have softened the requirements.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\theta > \omega$ be regular, $|X| \geq \theta$, and \mathcal{I} a θ -complete ideal over X s.t. $A \subseteq Y$. Then there is an M θ IF $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathscr{P}(X)$.*

Sketch proof. Let $J = j_{\mathcal{I}}: V \rightarrow M$, and $H \subseteq \text{Add}(\kappa, \kappa^+)$ be $V[G]^*$ -generic. Both M and $M(G * H)$ are κ^+ -closed. Let $\mathbb{R} = J^*(\mathbb{P}_* \text{Add}(\kappa, \kappa^+))$. Then $j(\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{P}_* \text{Add}(\kappa, \kappa^+) * \mathbb{R}$ as required in Theorem 2.2. Let

$$\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}^{G \times H} = \ast_{\alpha \in \text{Add}(\kappa, \kappa^+)} \text{Add}(\alpha, (\alpha^+)^M).$$

Given a filter \mathcal{F} on X , $\mathcal{F}^* := \{X \setminus A \mid A \in \mathcal{F}\}$. For V a θ -complete ultrafilter on X , $\mathcal{F}_V^* := \{A \in \mathcal{F} \mid (\exists Y \in \mathcal{I}) A \subseteq Y\}$.

By standard forcing techniques, we have $\mathbb{P} = \text{Add}(\theta, \kappa^+)$.

Each $p \in \text{Add}(\theta, \kappa)$ is s.t. $|p| < \theta$, so $j(p) = j^{p*}$, i.e. the isomorphism extends $j(p) \mapsto (p, 1)$. Setting $\mathcal{A} = \{a \mid \kappa > a > \lambda\}$, we have $\text{B}(\text{Add}(\theta, j(\kappa))) \cong \mathscr{P}(X)/\mathcal{I}$ in $V[G]$ by Theorem 2.2.

To finish we must show that $\text{Add}(\theta, j(\kappa)) \cong \text{Add}(\theta, \lambda)^{V[G]}$.

$\text{Add}(\theta, \lambda)$ is θ -closed so we have, for all $Y \in \mathcal{I}$, $\text{Add}(\theta, Y)^{V[G]} = \text{Add}(\theta, Y)^{V[G]}$. So it is sufficient to show that $\text{Add}(\theta, Y)^{V[G]} \subseteq \text{Add}(\theta, Y)^{V[G]}$.

By standard chain condition techniques and some cardinal arithmetic, we have $|\{2^{\lambda}\}^{\theta^+}| \leq |\{2^\lambda\}^V| \leq |\{2^\lambda\}^{\theta^+}|$, so $|\{2^\lambda\}^V| = |\{2^\lambda\}^{\theta^+}|$. Now we need only show that $|\{2^\lambda\}| = |\{j(\kappa)\}|$.

On the other hand, $j(\kappa) = \{\langle f \rangle \mid f: \lambda \rightarrow \kappa\}$, so $|j(\kappa)| < \kappa^+$, so λ is still measurable in the extension. Repeating with

¹One could adapt the proof of [6, Lemma 15.1] to incorporate chain conditions, for example.

²The method is similar to [7, Lemma 3.3.2], but could be older.